

Integration of IHC and NGS in identifying PTEN deficiency in mHSPC: A clinical insight case study

Dr Neal Shore

START Carolinas Research Center

Myrtle Beach, South Carolina, USA

Disclosures

Organizations	Type
Allessa GmbH, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, BMS, CG Oncology, Daiichi Sankyo, Dendreon, Ferring, J&J Medicine, Merck, Pfizer, Photocure, Sumitomo, Tutelix	Research/ consulting

Patient presentation and initial assessment

A 60-year-old healthy, asymptomatic male has a PSA of 21 ng/mL during routine testing by his primary care provider

- **Since no prior PSA results** were available, the PSA was repeated and confirmed
 - The DRE **demonstrated prostate** enlargement only. Once the PSA was repeated and confirmed, the patient was then referred for a urology consultation

Family history:



Uncle with prostate cancer and sister with breast cancer

Medical history:



Obesity, hypertension, and high cholesterol (hyperlipidemia)

- A prostate biopsy was performed and confirmed prostate cancer (6 out of 12 cores, Gleason Grade Group 4)
- **Baseline CBC/CMP** were within **normal limits**
- **Computed tomography and bone scan imaging** confirmed **four osteoblastic lesions** in the thoracic and lumbar spine and **one in the right acetabulum**
- **Prostate biopsy tissue sample was sent for NGS/IHC analysis**
 - Testing aimed to **identify potential genomic or molecular alterations** that could **inform prognosis** and **guide clinical decision-making**

Genomic findings



NGS revealed no alterations in the *BRCA* genes or in any other homologous recombination repair genes; **however, the tumor was identified by NGS as being PTEN deficient**

- **PTEN deficiency** identified by NGS **was also confirmed by IHC testing**, which validated **loss of PTEN protein expression and demonstrated intratumoral heterogeneity**



This patient had **de novo mHSPC** with high-volume disease (five total bone metastases, including one in the appendicular skeleton)



Reflection for decision-making:
What additional clinical or pathological information might support further risk stratification in this patient?

Rationale for assessing PTEN deficiency in metastatic prostate cancer

- ✓ Traditional clinicopathologic factors such as Gleason Grade Group, number of biopsy cores, and PSA levels support risk stratification, but additional information could further enhance **diagnostic accuracy, thereby optimizing treatment decision-making**¹
- ✓ Approximately **30% of patients** initially classified as low risk and managed by active surveillance may develop more aggressive disease within 1–2 years, highlighting the need for **additional prognostic biomarkers**¹
- ✓ **PTEN** is a key tumor suppressor frequently lost in prostate cancer and may **improve risk stratification** by **differentiating indolent and aggressive tumors**¹
- ✓ Given that **PTEN loss is often focal and subclonal**, *in situ* detection methods such as IHC may be more effective for identifying protein-level loss than sequencing-based approaches that assess copy number variation²
- ✓ The **integration of both somatic and germline testing** may be part of a comprehensive molecular characterization; however, these approaches remain **underutilized in clinical practice**
 - Due to its **simplicity, accessibility, and cost-effectiveness**, **IHC testing** offers a practical means for detecting PTEN deficiency in metastatic tumor samples^{3–6}

IHC, immunohistochemistry; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; PTEN, phosphatase and tensin homolog.

This presentation is informed by the speaker's clinical expertise and is intended for educational purposes only.

1. Kisiel F, et al. *Cancers*. 2025;17(17):2862; 2. Lotan TL, et al. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(39):65566–65576; 3. Wise HM, et al. *Clin Sci (Lond)*. 2017;131(3):197–210; 4. Giunta EF, et al. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2021;13(19):4771; 5. Pulido R, et al. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*. 2019;9(12):a036293; 6. Lotan TL, et al. *Mod Pathol*. 2016;29:904–914.

Clinical Consideration: Approaches to biomarker testing in mHSPC

Given a patient with similar clinical features, how would you approach biomarker testing?

- 1 No further biomarker testing
- 2 Routine comprehensive testing (both germline and somatic)
- 3 Selective/targeted testing based on clinical indicators
- 4 Germline testing only
- 5 Somatic testing only
- 6 IHC testing only

Key takeaways and educational insights



PTEN is the most commonly lost tumor suppressor in primary prostate cancer and is among the few **prognostic biomarkers** reproducibly associated with poor outcomes in affected patients¹



Determining PTEN status in diagnostic biopsies may improve patient selection for active surveillance and identify those at increased risk for disease progression^{1,2}



NGS and IHC testing offer complementary insights that can identify patients for **cascade family testing**, supporting evaluation of hereditary risk and informed clinical decisions³



Reflection point to consider: How could knowledge of a patient's PTEN status inform your clinical decision-making, guide conversations with the patient, and shape your overall management approach?

Explore the **“Prognostic role of PTEN”** and **“PTEN testing strategies”** pages to access key Pioneer Hub resources, offering deeper insights into PTEN deficiency and testing in metastatic prostate cancer